IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

JENNIFER GATKE.

Case No.: 3:12-cv-00645-JE

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

SIMON, District Judge.

Magistrate Judge John Jelderks filed Findings and Recommendations in the above-captioned case on March 28, 2013. Dkt. 13. Judge Jelderks recommended that the Court enter an order affirming the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security and dismiss this action with prejudice. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), the court may "accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party files objections to a magistrate's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe a standard of review. In such cases, "[t]here is no indication that Congress . . . intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report[.]" *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985); *see also United States. v.*

Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc), cert. denied, 540 U.S. 900 (2003)

(the court must review de novo magistrate's findings and recommendations if objection is made,

"but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)

recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review the magistrate's findings

and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Magistrate Judge Jelderks' Findings and Recommendation for clear

error on the face of the record. No such error is apparent. The Court, therefore, **ADOPTS** Judge

Jelderks' Findings and Recommendation (Dkt. 13) and AFFIRMS the decision of the

Commissioner of Social Security. This case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 19th day of April, 2013.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge